Recombination Constants Calculation of Reactions between Fluorine Atoms and O₂, CO, NO₂, SO₃, FSO₃, ClO₂, NF₂, and CIF

A. H. Jubert

Cátedra de Química Inorgánica, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas-UNLP-47 y 115, 1900 La Plata, Argentina

Z. Naturforsch. 35a, 1071-1075 (1980); received July 22, 1980

Recombination constants for reactions between fluorine atoms and O_2 , CO, NO_2 , SO_3 , FSO_3 , ClO_2 , NF_2 and ClF were calculated by means of a method developed by J. Troe. N_2 was used as bath gas and a temperature of 300 K was considered. The following values were obtained: 1.7×10^{16} , 9.2×10^{16} , 2.2×10^{17} , 7.0×10^{18} , 1.7×10^{19} , 2.6×10^{17} , 1.0×10^{17} , 2.3×10^{16} cm⁶ mol⁻² s⁻¹ respectively.

Introduction

While studying thermal and photochemical gas reactions in which fluorine atoms intervene, recombination steps of fluorine atoms with different species A to form FA ought to be considered. The rate constants of these steps are not known experimentally. It is necessary to estimate their magnitude in order to accept or to discard a specific process in a mechanism.

Troe [1, 2] developed a theory in which the rate constant of a thermal unimolecular reaction in the limiting low pressure range is expressed as

$$k_0 = \beta_c k_0^{SC}, \tag{1}$$

where β_c is the collision efficiency to be calculated as in reference [1] and k_0^{SC} the strong collision rate constant, to be evaluated as in reference [2]:

$$k_0^{\text{SC}} = [M] Z_{\text{LJ}} \left[\varrho_{\text{vib,h}}(E_0) k T / Q_{\text{vib}} \right] \\ \cdot \exp\left(-E_0 / k T \right) F_{\text{anh}} F_{\text{E}} F_{\text{rot}} F_{\text{rot,int}} F_{\text{corr}}, \quad (2)$$

where [M] is bath gas concentration, E_0 the threshold energy of the reaction, $Z_{\rm LJ}$ the Lennard-Jones collision frequency, $\varrho_{\rm vib,h}(E_0)$ the vibrational harmonic density of sates, $Q_{\rm vib}$ the vibrational partition function, $F_{\rm anh}$ the anharmonicity factor, $F_{\rm E}$ the energy dependence factor for the density of states, $F_{\rm rot}$ the rotational factor and $F_{\rm rot,int}$ the internal rotation factor $F_{\rm corr}$ is a factor which corrects for coupling between the various factors and the approximation made in their calculation, and which estimated to be unity.

Reprint requests to Mrs. Dr. A. H. Jubert, Cátedra de Química Inorgánica, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas-UNLP-47 y 115, 1900 La Plata, Argentinien.

$$k_{\text{rec,0}} = \frac{k_0 (Q_t Q_r Q_e)_{\text{FA}} N}{(Q_t Q_e)_{\text{F}} (Q_t Q_r Q_v Q_e)_{\text{A}}},$$
 (3)

where $Q_{\rm t}$, $Q_{\rm r}$, $Q_{\rm v}$ and $Q_{\rm e}$ are the translational, rotational, vibrational and electronic partition functions of the species F, A and FA, N being the Avogadro number.

Results and Discussion

The recombination constants for the reactions between F atoms and the species O_2 , CO, NO_2 , SO_3 , FSO_3 , CIO_2 , NF_2 and CIF were calculated.

The recombination constants for all the reactions were calculated using a thermal bath $[M] = N_2(\sigma = 3.738 \, \text{Å} \text{ and } \epsilon/k = 82 \, \text{K [3]})$. The average energy transferred in every transition $\langle \Delta E \rangle$ used in the β_c calculation was 4.6 kJ mol⁻¹ [4]. If the ground state quantum weight was not known, a value of one for stable molecules and two for radicals was assumed in the Q_c calculation.

The molecular properties of A and FA are shown in Table I. Table II shows the different factors for the calculation of the recombination constants, the calculated values and the experimental values found in the literature.

I. $F + O_2$: In the photochemical reaction between F_2 and $CO_2[5]$, an inhibitory effect was found. This effect was explained by the reaction $F + O_2 \rightleftarrows FO_2$, which was later confirmed through other research [6-8]. The Lennard-Jones well depth for FO_2 (ε/k), used in the Z_{LJ} calculation, was assumed to be similar to that of FNO [2]. The activation energy for the recombination process found in the literature was almost zero [9-11]; thus the rotational factor $F_{\rm rot}$ was calculated using a potential of type II of

0340-4811 / 80 / 1000-1071 \$ 01.00/0. — Please order a reprint rather than making your own copy.



Dieses Werk wurde im Jahr 2013 vom Verlag Zeitschrift für Naturforschung in Zusammenarbeit mit der Max-Planck-Gesellschaft zur Förderung der Wissenschaften e.V. digitalisiert und unter folgender Lizenz veröffentlicht: Creative Commons Namensnennung-Keine Bearbeitung 3.0 Deutschland

This work has been digitalized and published in 2013 by Verlag Zeitschrift für Naturforschung in cooperation with the Max Planck Society for the Advancement of Science under a Creative Commons Attribution-NoDerivs 3.0 Germany License.

Table 1. Molecular properties of A and FA

$F + A \xrightarrow{M} FA$	A			FA					
	$\overline{w^{\mathrm{c}}}$	I d	g e	$\sigma_{{ m LJ}}{}^{ m a}\; arepsilon_{{ m LJ}}/$	kb wc	I^{d}	g e		
$F + O_2$ N_2 FO_2	1580.2 f	$1.937 \times 10^{-39} \mathrm{f}$	1 f	3.9 380	1495-584.5-370	7.75 × 10 ⁻¹¹⁶ f	2 f		
$F + CO N_2 FCO$	2169.2 f	$1.45 \times 10^{-39} \mathrm{f}$	1 f	4.0 380	1018-626-1855	$2.19 \times 10^{-115} \mathrm{f}$	2 f		
$F + NO_2 \xrightarrow{N_2} FNO_2$	1357-757-1665	$1.539 \times 10^{-116}\mathrm{f}$	2 f	3.9 23 0	1793 - 570 - 460 $742 - 1312 - 822$	6.40 $\times 10^{-115} \mathrm{f}$	1 f		
$F + SO_3 \xrightarrow{N_2} FSO_3$	1068–495–1391 (2) 529 (2) ^f	1.08 × 10 ^{-114 f}	1 f	4.8 278	1055-839-534- 1176(2)-604(2)- 369(2)	$4.80 \times 10^{-114} \mathrm{i}$	2		
$F + FSO_3 \xrightarrow{N_2} F_2SO_3$	$\frac{1055 - 839 - 1176 (2)}{534 - 604 (2) - 369 (2)^{\mathrm{h}}}$	$4.80 \times 10^{-114} \mathrm{i}$	2	6.0 278	1501-1248-879- 852-789-575- 520-500-395- 390-292-137 j	$1.886 \times 10^{-113} \mathrm{j}$	1		
$F + ClO_2 \xrightarrow{N_2} FClO_2$	$945 - 447 - 1109 ^{\mathrm{f}}$	$1.495 \times 10^{-115}\mathrm{f}$	2^{f}	5.2 307		1.47×10^{-1141}	1		
$F + NF_2 \xrightarrow{N_2} NF_3$	$1069 – 573 – 931\mathrm{g}$	3.76×10^{-115} g	2	3.9 166	1031-642- 907 (2)-497 (2) ^g	1.49×10^{-114} g	1		
$F + FCl \xrightarrow{N_2} F_2Cl$	784 f	$5.445 imes 10^{-39} \mathrm{f}$	1 f	5.0 260		$9.576 \times 10^{-115\mathrm{m}}$	2		

- a Estimated Lennard-Jones collision diameter in Å.
- b Lennard-Jones well depth in K.
- c Vibrational frequencies in cm⁻¹.
- d Product of the Moments of Inertia in g³ cm⁶.
- e Ground state quantum weight.
- f JANAF Thermochemical Tables, J. Chao et al. Dow Chemical Company, Midland, Michigan 1965/1966.
- g Tables of Molecular Vibrational Frequencies, Part 7 (1973), T. Shimanouchi, published by the National Bureau of Standards.
- ^h G. W. King and C. H. Warren, J. Mol. Spectr. 32, 121 (1969).
- i G. W. King and C. H. Warren, ibidh 32, 138 (1969).
- ^j A. M. Qureshi, L. E. Levchuk, and F. Aubke, Can. J. Chem. 49, 2544 (1971).
- ^k F. D. Smith, G. M. Begur, and M. H. Fletcher, Spect. Acta 20, 1763 (1964).
- ¹ C. R. Parent and M. C. L. Gerry, J. Molec. Spectr. 49, 343 (1974).
- m E. S. Prochaska and L. Andrews, Inorg. Chem. 16, 339 (1977).

Waage and Rabinovitch [12-2] in the reaction caordinate.

II. F+CO: This process was postulated in the thermal reaction between CO, F_2 and O_2 [13] and also in the photochemical reaction between CO and F_2O [14]. A value of ε/k (FCO) = ε/k (FNO) was used. Milligan et al. [15] found that F atoms and CO combine with almost zero activation energy; thus $F_{\rm rot}$ was calculated as in I.

III. $F + NO_2$: This process was included in the mechanism of the thermal reaction between F_2 and NO_3F [16]. The ε/k (FNO₃) was estimated from the boiling point of the compound [17, 18]. The activation energy for the recombination process is $3.35 \text{ kJ} \text{ mol}^{-1}$ [9]; thus F_{rot} was calculated as in I.

IV. $F + SO_3$: This process was included in several mechanisms [19-21]. The ε/k (FSO₃) was assumed to be the same as that of F_2SO_3 (see below). The primary process in the unimolecular decomposition of the F_2FO_3 is the rupture of the OF bond with an activation energy of 138.0 kJ mol⁻¹ [24, 25]; thus

the SF bond energy must be greater than that of OF. The average energy of the SF bond in SF₄ is $181.9 \,\mathrm{kJ} \,\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ [26]. It was assumed that the energy of the SF bond in FSO₃ would be between the values mentioned above; a value of $163.1 \pm 20.9 \,\mathrm{kJ} \,\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ was used. It was found [19] that the activation energy of the recombination process was nearly zero; thus F_{rot} was calculated as in I.

V. $F+FSO_3$: This step was formulated in several mechanisms [19-23]. The $\varepsilon/k(F_2SO_3)$ was estimated from the boiling point of the compound [27]. As it was assumed that E_0 is similar to the activation energy in the high pressure limit $E_{a\infty}$ [28, 29], the value found in [24] was used. As the recombination energy is almost zero [19], $F_{\rm rot}$ was calculated as in I.

VI. $F + ClO_2$: P. Aymonino and H. J. Schumacher [30, 31] had proposed this step in the kinetics of the thermal reaction between F_2 and ClO_2 . $\varepsilon/k(FClO_2)$ was estimated from the boiling point of the compound [32]. As the E_0 for this process was

Reaction	E_0 a	T^{b}	$Z_{ m LJ}M/10^{14}{ m c}$	$\varrho_{ m vib,h}(E_0)^{ m d}$	$Q_{\mathtt{vib}}$ e	$F^{f(anh)g}$	$F_{\mathbf{E}}^{\mathbf{h}}$	$F_{ m rot}$ i	$eta_{ m c}$ j	$k_{ m rec,o}^{ m calc.}/[m N_2]^{ m k}$	$k_{ m rec,o}^{ m exp.}/[M]^{1}$
$\overline{F + O_2}$	62.7 ± 21.8	373	2.05	5.23	1.46	1.72 (3.2)	1.16	10.40	0.45	$(1.7 \pm 2.0) \times 10^{16}$	$(1.7 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{15}$ m 5.8 $\times 10^{15}$ n
$\mathbf{F} + \mathbf{CO}$	$\textbf{134.7} \pm \textbf{63.6}$	303	2.23	5.95	1.06	$\begin{array}{c} 1.72 \\ (3.2) \end{array}$	1.06	27.30	0.50	$(9.2\pm 16.5) imes 10^{16} \ 7.3$	$(2.07 \pm 0.72) \times 10^{16} \text{ñ}$
$\mathrm{F} + \mathrm{NO_2}$	215.9 ± 22.6	383	2.00	$7.22 imes 10^3$	1.55	1.37 (6.3	1.09	19.60	0.45	$(2.2 \pm \begin{array}{c} 1.5 \\ 1.5) \times 10^{17} \\ 1.0 \end{array}$	$2.3~ imes10^{17}\mathrm{o}$
$\mathrm{F}+\mathrm{SO_3}$	$\textbf{163.1} \pm \textbf{20.9}$	373	2.42	4.06×10^5	2.64	1.21 (9.6)	1.17	10.82	0.43	$(7.0 \pm 10.3) \times 10^{18} $	-
$\rm F + FSO_3$	$\textbf{138.0} \pm \ \textbf{4.1}$	373	3.09	2.72×10^7	11.43	1.15 (12.3)	1.25	7.12	0.42	$(1.7 \pm \begin{array}{c} 2.4 \\ 0.9) \times 10^{17} \\ 0.4 \end{array}$	-
$\mathrm{F} + \mathrm{ClO_2}$	217.5 ± 29.3	237	2.52	$3.37 imes 10^4$	1.30	$\begin{array}{c} 1.37 \\ (6.3) \end{array}$	1.06	4.63	0.56	$(2.6 \pm \begin{array}{c} 0.1 \\ 0.9) \times 10^{17} \\ 0.6 \end{array}$	-
$\mathbf{F} + \mathbf{NF_2}$	238.4 ± 8.4	418	1.93	$2.75 imes 10^4$	1.89	$\begin{array}{c} (6.3) \\ 1.37 \\ (6.3) \end{array}$	1.09	19.23	0.43	$(1.0 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{17}$	$\begin{array}{ccc} (3.3 & \pm \ 1.2) \times 10^{17} \mathrm{p} \ 5.0 & \times \ 10^{16} \mathrm{q} \end{array}$
$\mathbf{F} + \mathbf{FCl}$	129.7 ± 20.9	303	2.49	34.58	1.26	(3.3) (3.3)	1.07	4.48	0.50	$(2.3 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{16} \ 0.8$	_

a Threshold energy in kJ mol⁻¹.

b Temperature in K.

^c Lennard-Jones frequency in cm³ mol⁻¹ s⁻¹; [M] = heat bath gas.

^d Harmonic density of states at E_0 in $(kJ \text{ mol}^{-1})^{-1}$.

e Vibrational partition function.

f Anharmonicity factor.

g s = number of harmonic oscillators; m = number of Morse oscillators.

h Energy dependence factor for the density of states.

i Rotational Factor.

j Weak collision efficiency.

k Calculated recombination constants in cm⁶ mol⁻² s⁻¹. The deviation is due to E_0 only.

¹ Experimental recombination constants in cm⁶ mol⁻² s⁻¹.

m P. P. Chegodaev, V. I. Topikov, E. G. Strikov, and S. Ya Ashezhetskii. Khim. Vys. Energ. 12, 116 (1978) ([M] = Ar; between 228 and 301 K).

ⁿ W. Wang and D. D. Davis, Int. J. Chem. Kinetics 6, 401 (1974) ([M] = Ar; between 220 and 360 K).

 $^{^{\}tilde{n}}$ E. H. Appelman and M. A. A. Clyne, J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. I, 71, 2072 (1975) ([M] = Ar, at 298 K).

O European Symposium of the Combustion Institute (ed. F. S. Weinberg-Ac. Press, London, 35 (1973), C. Zetzsch) ([M] = He, between 248 and 368 K).

^p M. A. A. Clyne and R. T. Watson, J. Chem. Faraday Trans. I, 70, 1109 (1974), [M] = He, at 298 K).

^q J. Warnatz, H. J. Wagner, and C. Zetzsch, Report T-0240/92910/01017 to the Fraunhofer Gesellschaft (1972) ([M] = He at 300 K).

not known, the corresponding $D_{O-ClOF} = 188.2 \text{ kJ}$ mol-1 [33] was taken as the lower limit for that value. Now, this value was taken from the unimolecular decomposition of FClO2, where the primary process was $FClO_2 \rightarrow O + FClO$. The higher limit is the value of the bond energy of FCl $(246.8 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} [26])$. F_{rot} is a function of the pseudo rotational partion function I^{+}/I for a centrifugal barrier as derived by Eyring et al. [34]. As the process has an activation energy of 17.2 kJ mol⁻¹ [31], it could be assumed that the normal configuration of the FClO2 molecule and the activation complex could not be very different, and I^{*}/I would not be higher than one. According to the theory, if a value of $I^{+}/I = 1$ was used in equations (7-27)of reference [2], a lower limit for $F_{\rm rot}$ and $k_{\rm rec,0}$ was obtained.

VII. F+NF₂: This process was included in the mechanism of the thermal reaction between N₂F₄ and F₂O [35]. ε/k (NF₃) was estimated from the boiling point of the compound [18]. E_0 was taken from tables [36]. As the activation energy for this process was not known, the recombination constant that appears on Table II was calculated with the supposition that the recombination energy was almost zero. A lowest recombination constant $k_{\rm rec,0} = 1.9 \times 10^{16} \, {\rm cm}^6 \, {\rm mol}^{-2} \, {\rm s}^{-1}$ was obtained. It was assumed that this process, as in case VI, has an appreciable activation energy.

VIII. F+FCl: This step was included in the mechanism of the photochemical reaction between F_2 and ClF [37]. ε/k (F_2 Cl) was assumed as a value between that of FCl and ClF₃ (calculated from the

boiling points [18]). San Roman [38] obtained from thermochemical considerations an energy $D_{FCl-F} = 129.7 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$. This value was used as E_0 . As the activation energy of this process was unknown, in addition to the recombination constant that appears in Table II, a value of $k_{rec,0} = 2.3 \times 10^{16} \text{ cm}^6 \text{ mol}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ was obtained as the lowest limit.

Conclusions

A critical study of the errors involved in the calculation of the recombination constants with the input data showed that the eminent factor was E_0 through $\varrho_{\mathrm{vib},h}(E_0)$.

If a variation of σ_{LJ} of 10% was considered, a deviation of 10% resulted in the calculated recombination constants.

It should be stated that the calculated rotational factors are fairly uncertain [4].

It has to be noted that several of the reactions under typical experimental conditions are not in the third order regime but in the transition to second order.

In view of the uncertainties in the measurements, the agreement between calculated and experimental values can be considered satisfactory. The recombination constants for four processes given here are not found in up to date literature.

Acknowledgement

The author thanks Prof. J. Troe for many valuable suggestions.

- [1] J. Troe, J. Chem. Phys. 66, 4745 (1977).
- [2] J. Troe, ibid [1]. 66, 4758 (1977).
- [3] F. M. Mourits and F. H. A. Rummens, Can. J. Chem. 55, 3007 (1977).
- [4] J. Troe, J. Chem. Phys. 83, 114 (1979).
- [5] A. H. Jubert, J. E. Sicre, and H. J. Schumacher, Z. Phys. Chem. N. F. 67, 138 (1969).
- [6] M. I. Lopez, E. Castellano, and H. J. Schumacher, J. Photochem. 3, 97 (1974).
 [7] P. J. Bruna, J. E. Sicre, and H. J. Schumacher, Anal-
- [7] P. J. Bruna, J. E. Siere, and H. J. Schumacher, Anales de la Comision de Investigaciones Cientificas-Tomo CXCIV-Entrega I-II-1972, page 69.
- [8] E. J. Vasini and H. J. Schumacher, Z. Phys. Chem. N. F. 99, 21 (1976).
- [9] European Symposium of the Combustion Institute (ed. F. S. Weinberg-Academic Press. London, 35 (1973), C. Zetzsch).
- (1973), C. Zetzsch). [10] P. P. Chegodaev, V. I. Topikov, E. G. Stukov, and S. Ya Ashezhetskii, Khim. Vys. Energ. 12, 116 (1978).
- [11] W. Wang and D. D. Davis, Int. J. Chem. Kinet. 6, 401 (1974).

- [12] E. V. Waage and B. S. Rabinovitch, Chem. Rev. 70, 377 (1970); J. Chem. Phys. 52, 5581 (1970).
- [13] J. M. Heras and H. J. Schumacher, Z. Phys. Chem. N. F. 28, 250 (1961).
- [14] A. H. Jubert, J. E. Sicre, and H. J. Schumacher, An. Asoc. Qca. Arg. 58, 79 (1970).
- [15] D. E. Milligan, M. F. Jacox, A. M. Bass, J. J. Corneford, and D. E. Mann, J. Chem. Phys. 42, 3187 (1965).
- [16] J. E. Sicre and H. J. Schumacher, Z. Phys. Chem. N. F. 32, 24 (1962).
- [17] C. R. Ireton and B. S. Rabinovitch, J. Phys. Chem. 78, 1979 (1974).
- [18] "Fluorine Chemistry", Ed. Dr. J. H. Simons, Volume I, Academic Press, N. T. London 1950.
- [19] E. H. Staricco, J. E. Sicre, and H. J. Schumacher, Z. Phys. Chem. N. F. 35, 122 (1962).
- [20] W. H. Basualdo and H. J. Schumacher, Z. Phys. Chem. N. F. 51, 240 (1966).
- [21] J. E. Bolzan, J. E. Sicre, and H. J. Schumacher, Z. Phys. Chem. N. F. 46, 78 (1965).

- [22] R. Gatti, J. E. Sicre, and H. J. Schumacher, Z. Phys. Chem. N. F. 40, 127 (1964).
- E. Castellano and H. J. Schumacher, Z. Phys. Chem. N. F. 44, 57 (1965).
- [24] J. Czarnowski, E. Castellano, and H. J. Schumacher, Z. Phys. Chem. N. F. 57, 250 (1968).
- [25] G. von Ellenrieder and H. J. Schumacher, Z. Phys. Chem. N. F. 60, 50 (1968).
- [26] JANAF Thermochemical Tables, J. Chao et al., Dow
- Chemical Company, Midland, Michigan.

 [27] F. B. Dudley, G. H. Cady, and D. F. Eggers Jr., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 78, 290 (1956).
- [28] K. Glänzen, M. Quack, and J. Troe, Chem. Phys. Let. 39, 304 (1976).
 [29] "Theory of Unimolecular Reactions", W. Forst,
- Academic Press, N. Y.-London 1973.
- [30] P. J. Aymonino, J. E. Siere, and H. J. Schumacher, J. Chem. Phys. 22, 756 (1954).

- [31] P. J. Aymonino and H. J. Schumacher, Anal. Asoc. Quimica Argentina 43, 26 (1955).
- "Introduction to Advanced Inorganic Chemistry" 2nd Edition, P. J. Durrant and Durrant, Longman Group Ltd., London 1970.
- [33] M. J. Heras and H. J. Schumacher, Z. Phys. Chem. N. F. 22, 11 (1959).
- [34] H. Eyring, J. Gershinowitz, and C. Sun, J. Chem. Phys. 3, 786 (1936).
- [35] M. Rubinstein, J. E. Sicre, and H. J. Schumacher, Z. Phys. Chem. N. F. 43, 51 (1964).
- [36] "Bond Dissociation Energies in Simple Molecules", NSRDS-NBS 31 (1970).
- [37] E. A. San Roman and H. J. Schumacher, Z. Phys. Chem. N. F. 71, 153 (1970).
- [38] E. A. San Roman, Doctoral Thesis-Universidad de Buenos Aires, Fac. Ciencias Exactas y Naturales (1977).